

AGENDA

Community Coordination Team - Meeting 6 - June 20, 2019

Date: June 20, 2019 **Location:** Oak Hills Constructors Project Office

Time: 6 p.m. 1645 S.R. 193, Layton

Attendees:

Greg Hales Keith Bennett Randy Jefferies
Arvella Dent Lance Nelson Aubry Bennion
Kristin Spillman Cory Bruestle Leah Jaramillo
Sam Jeppesen Bryan Griffith Vic Saunders

Bill Craw Nick Anderson Travis Child Mike Romero

Meeting Topics:

1. Welcome and Core Values

a. Core Value Moment: Randy discussed fiscal responsibility and the role that he and Mike have to appropriately forecast the workload and necessary funding from its funding source (the TIF fund) each year to minimize interest paid.

2. Open House Follow-up

a. Leah and Aubry presented on the May 21 public open house. It is expected that attendance was higher than the 459 documented attendees from the sign-in sheet. The online open house had 6,505 unique visits and over 90 comments in May. In addition, email subscribers, the Facebook Group, and website visits all grew throughout the open house timeframe.

3. Frequently Asked Questions

- a. Some of the frequently asked questions our team heard during and following the open house include:
 - i. I-84: A study of the I-84 interchange is running concurrently to the U.S. 89 project. Preliminary estimates appear to be in the \$300-400M range and are similar in nature to downtown SLC's spaghetti bowl. Modifications to the I-84/U.S. 89 interchange are included the local metropolitan planning organization's Long Range Plan, but not the funded plan.



- ii. Future Traffic Volumes: Our team is working on ways to accurately convey the projected traffic volumes, particularly on the frontage roads and side streets, as we have received some messages of concern regarding the influx of traffic on local roads. We are working on educational material regarding designated street types (arterials, collectors, etc.) as well as visual simulations that illustrate the projected traffic counts on adjacent streets. A good indicator of the amount of traffic anticipated at the interchanges is that there will not be traffic signals, because they are not warranted (not enough traffic to meet the requirements). The current AADT (annual average daily traffic) on U.S. 89 is 37,700, while 54,000 are projected (in 2040). There are approximately 125,000 vehicles each day on I-15 through Davis County.
- iii. Bike and Pedestrian Accommodation: UDOT is coordinating with the local governments, who will be responsible for the maintenance of the frontage roads, to determine a cross section that meets the needs and master plans for all communities. Dedicated trail systems, like those on the Legacy Parkway and Jordan River Parkway provide a sense of security that cannot be guaranteed on U.S. 89 because there are so many driveways and side streets that will tie into the frontage roads. As such, a bike path will be included in the shoulder, with the appropriate striping and pavement markings.
- iv. Adams Canyon Parking: There will be approximately 150 parking stalls included in the new Adams Canyon parking lot. This will serve the existing 70-100 cars that are typically parked in the area, as well as the Antelope Drive park and ride lot.
- v. Construction Start Date: A start date has not been announced as of yet. Utility relocation is still planned for fall 2019.
- vi. Noise Walls: Balloting may begin as early as July or August 2019.
- vii. Right of Way Acquisition: The acquisition team is working in small, 8-10 parcel packages per week, prioritizing their acquisition in the order the designers are designing the roadway which generally follows the planned construction phasing. All but a few total acquisitions are complete. All total acquisition owners have been contacted. Partial acquisitions, including temporary construction easements, continue.
- b. What did the CCT members hear at or after the open house?
 - i. Bill: Deer Fence Locations maintenance access dictates location, which is still undergoing design refinements. In some locations, where the fence line appears to be a far distance from the frontage road, it is often times because of a planned cut, or it is located on a hill. The team will continue to review this as design progresses
 - ii. Keith: ROW Surplus Process there was a recent article in the SL Trib regarding the state's new auction process. Properties not demolished for



- the roadway widening will be auctioned through the <u>state surplus auction</u> upon completion of the project.
- iii. Keith: There is an overall consensus that lowering U.S. 89 is a good thing.
- iv. Keith: Gordon Avenue Frontage Roads there is some confusion among the community between Layton City and UDOT regarding the reason for the alignment at Gordon Avenue and the planned future use. Mike explained that in other areas along the corridor have to fit intersections and side streets into existing infrastructure. Gordon Avenue gives UDOT and Layton City the opportunity to place the side streets in a location that provides optimal spacing from the interchange to avoid stacking and congestion. This area is included in the Master Plan for future development. UDOT will follow it's typical procedures regarding surplus property once the project is completed.

4. Design Update

- a. Nick provided the team a look at the design details included in the 30% design versus the 60% design.
- b. The 60% design package was submitted all at once. Future design packages will be submitted individually, specific to locations or type of work. This level of design detail and refinement is expected to take 3-4 months.
- c. Home Demo: Demolition of UDOT-owned properties in preparation for utility relocation work will soon begin. There is a month-long process from the time the renters vacate the property until the actual demolition, including asbestos testing, utility shut-off, notifying neighbors, etc.
- d. The east frontage road will likely be the first area to be constructed.

5. CCT Schedule

a. Given the 24th of July holiday and the design team's schedule, the CCT will meet next in August.

6. Wrap-up, Comments and Other Questions

a. Happy Birthday, Leah!

ACTION ITEMS:	
----------------------	--

None.

Evaluation:

Average score: 6.23



What worked well:

- Discussing feedback within the framework of present development.
- It was well organized. Thanks
- The amount of information
- Interaction of team members & UDOT
- Good agenda
- Good conversation & input
- The setup was nice and worked well.

What could be improved:

- Nothing
- Looks like it's working very well
- Difficult but, keeping folks on task, time table during meetings
- Location is far from my home and time of meeting puts me driving during peak travel time.

Other comments:

- I enjoy the information
- Keep us updated on any late game changes to the layout/ design